Call to Order

Ryan Guy, Legislative Vice President, called the meeting to order at 3:05 p.m. in the University Center South Lounge.

Roll Call

Members Present: Tiffany Newton, Carol Hurley (late), Tyler Smith, Carrie Schaden, Nikki Kovalcheck, Christopher Lobo (late), Porscha Cobbs, Jesse Hughes, Carol Balch (late), Josephine Villegas, Tara Holloway,

Officers Present: Terra Rentz, Ryan Guy, DaVonna Foy, Constance Mitchell, Rachel Rodriguez, Lladira Baez, Keith Lambert, Steven Dimon

Officers Absent: Alan Chesbro (unexcused)

Advisors Present: Joan Tyson, Steven Butler

Approval of Agenda

MOTION: NEWTON/KOVALCHECK move to approve Agenda #21. APPROVED AS AMENDED

MOTION: HOLLOWAY/SMITH move to amend the agenda to place Student Affairs Vice President’s Report directly after Student Affairs Vice President’s Appointments. APPROVED

Chair's Report

Guy stated that there will be a time limit of two minutes on Public Comment for each agenda item. An author will receive 5 minutes and discussion will be limited to two minutes a person. If an amendment is made Guy will take three speakers for the amendment and three speakers against the amendment. Guy reminded the Council that a Point of Order should only be used if there is a rules violation. Guy will judge the Point of Order before proceeding.

Special Presentations

Provost and Vice President of Academic Affairs Dr. Robert Snyder was available for the Council to ask any questions regarding the planned Academic Program Prioritization plan. Holloway asked the Vice President what kind of software would be used to analyze the qualitative findings. Snyder responded
that the analysis would probably be measured by a rubric rather than software. Holloway suggested certain types of software that could be used. Snyder stated that he is leaving the analysis up to those who are familiar with the process. Hughes stated that he would like the administrators to come to the Council at the beginning of the year. Hughes also stated that it is his understanding that the University will be using the Indiana State model which took over eight years to establish and implement. Snyder replied that it was his understanding that the Indiana model only took one and a half years to complete and believes that HSU will finish on a similar timeline.

Sofía Pereira, a student, asked how the WASC standards would be incorporated into the prioritization process. Snyder stated that the program prioritization process is faculty driven and is hoping that the task force will include the WASC standards in its assessment. Holloway stated that she would like to know more about ways that graduate students could be incorporated into teaching opportunities and asked for insight about why graduate students do not teach. Snyder stated that there are opportunities but that it is driven by the individual departments. Snyder stated that he thinks there are a number of places where graduate students could teach but there needs to be a lot of discussion about the idea beforehand.

Schaden stated that in the task force they do not want to use WASC terms and that certain parts of WASC themes be filtered into the rubric that would be used in program prioritization. Schaden feels that it is being separated into categories. Schaden asked for input in the future.

Rodriguez asked if students next year will be hit off-guard. Snyder stated that over the summer the administration will vet a number of ideas on how to reduce the budget of academic affairs to roll out during the fall. Snyder stated that there are a range of options from switching remediation to CR, hiring fewer tenure track faculty, program elimination and larger class sizes. Snyder stated that the University is planning based on the Governors budget but may changed due to the May revise of the CA budget. Snyder stated that the May revise may change things.

Mitchell stated that she spoke to the Legislative assistant chancellor. Mitchell asked if the summer meeting would be open to students in regards to academic budget. Snyder stated that if a student wanted to participate he had no opposition to it.

Snyder closed that he is always happy to speak with students.

Approval of Minutes

A. Approval of Minutes # 18 dated April 16th, 2008 --Action Item

MOTION: HOLLOWAY/NEWTON move to approve Minutes #18 dated April 16th, 2008. APPROVED AS AMENDED (1) ABSTENSION

MOTION: HOLLOWAY/HUGHESS move to amend the minutes by striking Los Angeles area and replace with participating in the Spring Preview trip. APPROVED
MOTION: HUGHES/KOVALCHECK move to amend the minutes by striking *he has had the door shut on him* and replace with *information has not been made readily available* in the first paragraph on the sixth page. APPROVED

B. Approval of Minutes # 19 dated April 23rd, 2008 --Action Item

MOTION: NEWTON/SMITH move to approve Minutes #19 dated April 23rd, 2008. APPROVED AS AMENDED

MOTION: HOLLOWAY/KOVALCHECK move to amend the minutes by striking *not worthy of the Council’s time* and replace with *can lead to no formal Council action at this time.* APPROVED (1) ABSTENSIONS

MOTION: HOLLOWAY/SMITH move to amend the minutes to include Mitchell’s written report. APPROVED (1) ABSTENSIONS

Public Comments (As per the Gloria Romero Open Meeting Act of 2000 authorized by Section 89306.)

Dawne Abdul Al-Bari, a student, wanted to give the Council a progress report on the scholarship that she is developing. Abdul Al-Bari stated that she is currently working with the Multi Cultural Center and the Humboldt Orientation Program and will be planning an event for the fall semester. Abdul Al-Bari also thanked the Council for the $1,500 grant she received from the Activities Coordinating Board.

Appointments to Committees and Council

A. S. President (Terra Rentz)
No Appointments.

A.S. Legislative Vice President (Ryan Guy)
No Appointments.

A. S. Administrative Vice President (DaVonna Foy)
No Appointments.

A. S. Student Affairs Vice President (Constance Mitchell)
No Appointments.

Student Affairs Official Reports (Constance Mitchell)

Mitchell stated that she has enjoyed her time on Council and the opportunity to work with everyone. Mitchell stated that the incoming Council and Student Affairs Vice President is responsible for Study
Lounge and that sign ups for volunteers has been posted in the office. Instruction sheets for Study Lounge have been placed in Council Members boxes. Mitchell also reported that she is working with Stephanie Haller on the layout for the 2008-09 planners and that the Student Affairs Office has promised money for the project. The University Center Board of Directors will be making a decision on the proposed mural for the Associated Students office at their meeting on May 8th. Mitchell stated that there is currently a proposal on the table for reorganization of the University Center that she supports and encourages Council members to talk to her about the proposal.

Old Business

A. Resolution in Opposition to Proposed Transfer of Commercial Services from HSU’s Student Union, The University Center to anywhere, Advancement or Otherwise, dated April 17th, 2008 – Action Item
This resolution voices A.S. opposition to Proposed Transfer of Commercial Services from HSU’s Student Union, The University Center Board of Directors to the Advancement Foundation or any other organization.

MOTION: HUGHES/HOLLOWAY move to table the Resolution in Opposition to Proposed Transfer of Commercial Services from HSU’s Student Union, The University Center to anywhere, Advancement or Otherwise indefinitely.

APPROVED

B. Proposal for A.S. Special Election Initiative for the Proposed Transfer of Student Union, University Center Board Assets i.e. All HSU Commercial Services, dated April 17th, 2008 – Action Item
The Associated Students is considering a special election for the Associated Student Body to vote on the transfer of University Center commercial services to a different board that does not have a student majority or a student as chair.

MOTION: HUGHES/HOLLOWAY move to approve the Proposal for A.S. Special Election Initiative for the Proposed Transfer of Student Union, University Center Board Assets i.e. All HSU Commercial Services, dated April 17th, 2008.

TABLED INDEFINITELY

Hughes stated that he cannot see how this item could be voted on by the student body this late in the school year.

MOTION: HUGHES/SMITH move to table indefinitely.

APPROVED

C. Resolution: HSU A.S. Protection of Student Union Act of 2008, dated April 28th, 2008 – Action Item
This resolution voices A.S. opposition to Proposed Transfer of Commercial Services from HSU’s Student Union, The University Center Board of Directors to Advancement and/or Reorganization within the University Center Board of Directors.
(1) OPPOSED

Hughes stated that the issue before the Council is complex and asked that the Council speak on the resolution from their positions rather than from their personality. Hughes stated that he has been frustrated and disheartened that he has only received one e-mail regarding the resolution when he solicited responses from Council. Hughes believes that all of the current proposals for the University Center reorganization would have devastating consequences especially if funds from the University Center were transferred to the Advancement Foundation. Hughes stated that the resolution was specifically written for members of The California State Legislature so that Humboldt State University could be included in the California Education Code Section 89905.5 with CSU Chico to protect the Student Union from a take over by the campus president. If HSU is included in the education code it would not forbid reorganization but would insist on a comprehensive process that would require consultation from the community. Hughes stated that he has been in contact with six students from CSU Chico’s Associated Students who have given information to assist Hughes. Hughes explained that Chico brought an injunction against a past CSU Chico campus President to stop the President from taking over the commercial services from the Student Union. Hughes stated that this is an opportunity to show students that the Associated Students is looking out for their best interests. Hughes stated that HSU is the last holdout within the CSU system and encouraged the Council to vote for the resolution.

Mitchell stated that she supports proposal number four by Martin Flashman and would like to know if she would still be able to support the proposal if Council approved the resolution. Guy asked if the Council would consent to moving through the resolution one paragraph at a time. The Council agreed with Guy’s suggestion. Holloway responded to Mitchell that the purpose of the document was not to avoid making votes but to continue the discussion of the proposed reorganization. Rentz feels that the resolution binds members of the University Center Board of Directors and would not allow the Board to discuss the fourth proposal. Hughes stated that he will be proposing an amendment that will address Mitchell’s concerns and that the resolution only requests that University Center Board Members not participate in the discussion and does not require them to do so. Hughes stated that if the California legislature incorporates HSU in the Education Code with CSU Chico it would create a process that would allow the concerns of the community to be addressed. Schaden stated that the proposed amendments that she would like to make would also address Mitchell’s concerns as well. Schaden stated that her amendment would allow individual members to discuss and vote on reorganization.

Guy began discussion on the 1st Paragraph

Rentz recommended removing the first sentence of the paragraph to make the paragraph less “wordy.” Holloway stated that she had the same concerns as Rentz but that the remainder of the document does not put any emphasis on the Associated Students being a campus auxiliary. Hughes stated that the resolution would be sent to many individuals who would be unaware that the Associated Students is a CSU auxiliary or non-profit and thinks the first sentence should be kept. Discussion continued on the applicability of the first sentence. Hughes reminded the Council that the resolution would be sent to California State Legislature and that four pages of information is not too much to provide. Schaden stated that she cares about making the document easy for students to read.
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Guy began discussion on the 2nd Paragraph

Rentz recommended that the entire paragraph be removed because she feels it is unnecessary. Hughes stated that the 2nd paragraph is important because it lays out the job duties and mission of Associated Students Council Members. Holloway requested that each paragraph be explained by the author before discussion so that Council would have an understanding of the purpose of each paragraph. Mitchell recommended that the Council return to the resolution after the 4:00 p.m. presentation by Provost Snyder. Kovalcheck stated that the phrase be vocal on issues that protect students rights could be taken out of the paragraph. Holloway disagreed with Kovalcheck’s suggestion and finds the phrase unique.

Guy began discussion on the 3rd Paragraph

Hughes stated that so far the AS President has suggested getting rid of each paragraph. Hughes feels that the third paragraph is important because it establishes the issue to a geographic area.

MOTION: SCHADEN/NEWTON move to amend the resolution by removing the third paragraph. APPROVED AS AMENDED (3) OPPOSED (2) ABSTENSIONS

Schaden feels that the third paragraph repeats what it is outlined in the first paragraph.

MOTION: HOLLOWAY/SMITH move to amend the amendment to add located in Arcata, CA after the words HUMBOLDT STATE UNIVERSITY in the first paragraph APPROVED (1) ABSTENSIONS

Guy began discussion on the 4th Paragraph.

Hughes stated this paragraph is in most resolutions passed by the Associated Students. Rentz suggested removing the paragraph.

MOTION: SCAHDEN/SMITH move to amend the resolution by removing the fourth paragraph.

MOTION: SCAHDEN/SMITH move to amend the resolution by removing the fourth paragraph. FAILS (4) AYE

Schaden stated that she likes the Resolution as a whole, but the fourth paragraph would make it more difficult to read. Hughes responded that students are only a small portion of who the resolution is written for and that many students do not even know what Associated Students is. Hughes also stated that a resolution written by himself and Rodriguez had the exact same paragraph and would appreciate if the paragraph stays in the resolution. Holloway suggested that further language could be included to make it friendlier to students. Schaden stated that she thinks it makes it less reader friendly and that time for students is precious and it would be nice if the resolution was more curtailed to students especially because students could easily reference the material elsewhere. Kovalcheck believes that the
paragraph is redundant of the first paragraph in the document. Newton echoed Kovalcheck’s sentiment. Holloway thinks it would be better to change the language of the paragraph rather than to delete it.

MOTION: HOLLOWAY/HUGHES move to amend the resolution by amending the fourth paragraph to read:

WHEREAS, IT IS IN THE MISSION OF THE ASSOCIATED STUDENTS to advocate for the student perspective to the ‘appropriate parties,’ which on this topic includes but is not limited to; the university administration, the University Center Board of Directors, the local community, and the State of California; and,

APPROVED
(2) OPPOSED
(3) ABSTENSIONS

Guy began discussion on the 5th Paragraph.

Hughes stated that he included the vision statement of HSU because he feels that some of the decisions that may be made will be counter to both the vision and mission statement of HSU. Hughes feels that the plan for reorganization of the University Center is being rushed through and that the University would not be acting as an exemplary partner with the community. Schaden stated that she feels if words are put more concisely it would make it easier for people to respond to the resolution. Schaden feels that the paragraph is unnecessary.

MOTION: SCHADEN/NEWTON move to amend the resolution by striking the fifth paragraph.

APPROVED
(3) OPPOSED
(1) ABSTENSIONS

Holloway feels that since the University Center reorganization plans are not concrete and since this particular paragraph does not speak to a resolved it should be removed. Hughes stated that numerous resolutions have been authored in the past committing support to locally produced items, organic food and banning human rights violator’s products from HSU. Hughes feels that this paragraph would show that the Associated Students is committed to social and environmental action. Newton thinks the phrase campus of choice is subjective and that it would not aid in coalition building. Holloway feels it would be better to change the paragraph rather than striking it.

Guy began discussion on the 6th Paragraph.

Hughes stated that he is frustrated that so much of the resolution has already been deleted. Hughes is suggesting changes that would allow students a greater role in the process and that if students grow accustomed to administrators making changes it would not allow for students to learn. Schaden responded that she feels she is representing students by discussing items before voting to strike.

MOTION: SCAHDEN/NEWTON move to amend the resolution by striking the sixth paragraph.

APPROVED AS AMENDED
(3) OPPOSED
Holloway stated that she disagrees with the methods that are being used and feels that the sixth paragraph should not be cut because the Council had already cut the vision statement. Kovalcheck feels that the paragraph does not help her understand the purpose of the resolution. Smith agrees that the paragraph seems out of place. Hughes stated he doesn’t understand how there is not a direct correlation and stated that 90% of food served on campus comes from Sysco which is a detriment to health and the environment. Hughes stated that this will open up the opportunity for outside ventures to come into our school and does not think that these will be done in an environmentally responsible way. Balch stated that the sixth paragraph is necessary and gives the resolution a good foundation. Balch agreed with Hughes that it is very relevant to the subject of the resolution.

MOTION: SCHADEN/SMITH move to amend the amendment to replace the sixth paragraph with the following:

WHEREAS, the Associated Students believes that the initial current proposal to the University Center Board endangers the Associated Students Representatives ability to uphold the social and environmental responsibility declared in the mission and vision of HSU and,

APPROVED
AS AMENDED
(3) OPPOSED
(1) ABSTENTIONS

Schaden feels that the proposed amendment would sum up the author’s original sentiment while making the document easier to read.

MOTION: HUGHES/HOLLOWAY move to amend the amended amendment by striking initial and replacing with current.

APPROVED
(1) OPPOSED
(2) ABSTENTIONS

Holloway stated that it is her understanding that a paragraph that begins with Whereas should support a paragraph that begins with Resolved. Rentz stated that she likes the fourth proposal along with Mitchell and thinks that it may be a good plan. Rentz stated that the fourth proposal would separate the UC Board into two branches one that oversees the commercial operations and a second that oversees the student services offered by the University Center. Hughes stated that the proposed bylaws would place seven administrators and only two students on the board in the first proposal.

MOTION: HOLLOWAY/NEWTON move to call the question on pending amendments.

APPROVED
(1) OPPOSED

Guy began discussion on the 7th Paragraph.

Hughes stated that he feels that the seventh paragraph is important and relevant since the resolution will be coming from the same organization that originally proposed a student union. Rentz recommended that the seventh paragraph be removed and stated that the University Center was created by the
University Community rather than by the Associated Students alone. Rentz believes that the paragraph is not pertinent.

MOTION: SCHADEN move to amend the resolution by striking the 7th paragraph

There was no second. The motion died.

Guy began discussion on the 8th Paragraph.

At this time it was recognized that a paragraph had been left of the reformatted resolution. The Council recessed until the correct paragraph could be presented.

MOTION: HOLLOWAY/NEWTON move to amend the resolution to include the following paragraph directly after the seventh paragraph.

Whereas, The HISTORY OF HUMBOLDT STATE UNIVERSITY CENTER STATES, “In September 1965, a new College Union Foundation Planning Committee was established by Dr. Siemens for their recommendations in creating a College Union Board of Directors. This Board of Directors would be charged with the responsibility of the operations of the College Union, Consultation with state agencies indicated that it would be feasible to incorporate the cafeteria, the bookstore, and Nelson Hall in one College Union Building. The ASB Legislative Council created a College Union Steering Committee for the purpose of planning a campaign to establish a College Union on campus.’ A group in excess of fifty active members, including many club presidents, was appointed as members of the various committees that were formed;” and,

Hughes stated that the University Center board is charged with the operation of the student union. Hughes feels that to honor all students who worked to create the University Center the Associated Students should continue to defend their work.

MOTION: HOLLOWAY/NEWTON move to amend the amendment by deleting the eighth paragraph and adding the following to the end of paragraph 8A:

“In 1970 the College Union Board incorporated and OWNERSHIP of the bookstore was transferred to the Union... on October 10, 1972 the board named the NEW STUDENT UNION, University Center;” and,

Holloway stated that she likes both paragraph 8A and 8 and thinks that they would be better if fused together. Rentz stated that she is not in favor of the resolution and feels that Paragraph 8A does not enhance the reading of the resolution.

MOTION: COBBS/SMITH move to call the question on the pending amendments.

APPROVED AS AMENDED

(3) ABSTENTIONS
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Guy began discussion on the 9th Paragraph.

Hughes stated that he believes the 9th paragraph speaks for itself:

MOTION: SCHADEN/HOLLOWAY move to amend the resolution by striking from the ninth paragraph:

*Opportunities to experience and grow in areas outside of the academic classroom are provided through organized recreational, cultural, and educational offerings, the bookstore and other support facilities. The overall purpose of the University Center is the development of persons as well as intellects.*

APPROVED

(1) OPPOSED

(3) ABSTENSIONS

Hughes stated that he is against the motion and that the purpose of the phrase is to help the University Center stay true to its purpose. Smith is in favor of the proposed amendment because he feels the wording is too long.

MOTION: SCHADEN/SMITH move to amend the resolution to create a new paragraph directly before the ninth paragraph that states:

*Whereas, The Associated Students believes that proposal 1-3 endangers the opportunities of students to experience and grow in activities outside of the classroom.*

FAILS

(1) AYE

Holloway believes that this creates confusion and will increase discussion time. Holloway stated that she is getting confused and does not think that proposal four should be backed just because AS executives support it. Schaden believes that the proposed amendment would help to deal with both issues at hand.

Guy began discussion on the 10th Paragraph.

Hughes stated there are many ways that the current proposals would benefit many private businesses or individuals. There was no further discussion.

MOTION: HUGHES/NEWTON move to extend the meeting until 7:00 p.m. APPROVED

Guy began discussion on the 11th Paragraph.

Hughes stated that the idea of the 11th paragraph is to make sure that no CSU administrator could tamper with the University Center. Holloway stated that she is in favor of the 11th paragraph. Holloway continued that CSU Chico created a precedent that Humboldt State University could follow and that the criteria established by Chico would be good criteria for Humboldt to determine the need for a change in the University Center. Rentz recommended that the 11th paragraph be struck since there has been no
discussion about a discontinuation of the commercial services provided by the University Center. Rentz
continued that there is also no proposal on the table that would move control of the University Center
operations to the Campus President or the CSU Board of Trustees. Rentz feels that the paragraph is not
necessary because the proposal was never made to put the control of the University Center under the
Campus President or the Board of Trustees, only under a different auxiliary.

Guy began discussion on the 12\textsuperscript{th} Paragraph.

MOTION: HUGHES/HOLLOWAY move to amend the resolution to include the following on the end of the 12\textsuperscript{th}, 14\textsuperscript{th}, 15\textsuperscript{th} and 16\textsuperscript{th} paragraphs:

\textit{unless said transfer includes full and complete oversight by the current UC board’s bylaws, is from the UC board to the Associated Students following the CSU Chico model or reorganization which includes full and complete oversight by the current UC board.}

APPROVED AS AMENDED
(2) OPPOSED
(3) ABSTENSIONS

Hughes stated that this amendment would make the resolution more inclusive and would allow members of the UC Board Directors the opportunity to act on the proposal. Smith stated that he is against the amendment and the entire paragraph in general because he feels it is not responsible to limit the options of what the outcome could be. Smith stated that he thinks it’s important to make sure that a student majority be included in the outcome and that it is important to continue to foster discussion.

MOTION: SCHADEN move to amend the amendment to include: \textit{unless a student majority be maintained.}

There was no second. The motion died.

Hughes stated that several members of the AS Council asked that the language be included to allow UC Board Members the opportunity to make a decision. Hughes also stated that he had attended every single University Center Board meeting and that many others on the AS Council had not attended any of the University Center Board meetings. Hughes stated that he feels every proposal except the one proposed by Martin Flashman would be damaging to students. Hughes also stated that it is the responsibility of Council to make sure that people are informed and that students are looked out for and protected. Schaden stated that she suggested a change that would ensure that the same student majority be maintained. Rentz stressed that as a member of the University Center Board of Directors the amendment would give her any extra flexibility to make decisions. Holloway feels that asking the President to cease and desist is appropriate because administrators have been overstepping their bounds.

MOTION: HOLLOWAY/SCHADEN move to amend the amendment to read:

\textit{Unless said transfer includes full and complete oversight by the current UC board’s bylaws.}

APPROVED AS AMENDED
(2) OPPOSED
Holloway hopes that the University Center Board would have the final say to give commercial services to the Associated Students. Holloway feels the current board and bylaws are appropriate. Smith feels that the new amendment is appropriate and that the AS President would not support anything that would take the decisions making power out of the hands of students. Hughes stated that he is against the motion because it destroys the intent of the resolution. Hughes stated that the current board wants the reorganization to go through and that he is adamantly opposed to the changes.

MOTION: HUGHES/SCHADEN move to amend the amended amendment to read:

or unless said transfer is from the UC Board to the Associated Students following the CSU Chico model.

APPROVED

(3) OPPOSED

(1) ABSTENTIONS

Hughes stated that students at CSU Chico are in full control of all of the commercial services provided on the campus. Hughes stated that it would allow students to find inventive ways to increase revenue. Holloway stated that even if a reorganization occurs where commercial services are placed underneath the Associated Students, the proposal should still receive approval from the current UC Board. Hughes also stated that he wants to include viable options and a model that would allow students to have a stronger input on commercial services.

MOTION: HOLLOWAY/SCHADEN move to amend the previous motion to read:

unless said transfer includes full and complete oversight by the current UC boards bylaws mentioned previously in this document.

APPROVED

(1) ABSTENTIONS

Holloway feels that this is a compromise with what Schaden, Smith, Hughes and Rentz have been advocating for.

MOTION: SMITH/NEWTON move to call the question on all pending amendments.

APPROVED

(3) OPPOSED

MOTION: NEWTON/HOLLOWAY move to call the question on the resolution as amended

FAILS

(4) AYE

Guy began discussion on the 13th Paragraph.

MOTION: KOVALCHECK/SCHADEN move to amend the 13th paragraph by striking:

After thoughtful, diligent, and careful consideration of the duty vested in the Association to “consider the opinions and needs of our immediate constituency, the Students, prior to taking any action on their behalf,” and the duty vest in the Association to, “be vocal on issues that protect and increase student rights; create opportunities to increase the student perspective in the university decision making
process; actively seek institutional changes that serve to enhance the student environment; and uphold and protect the integrity of the Student Body Association.”

Kovalcheck feels that the phrase should be removed because it is also reflected in the 2nd paragraph.

MOTION: NEWTON/SCHADEN move to strike the 14th paragraph.

Newton felt that it is inappropriate that the resolution dictates others job responsibilities.

Guy began discussion on the 15th paragraph.

Hughes stated that the paragraph only requests that board members who are students neither support in discussion or vote in favor of a proposed change. Hughes stated that it would not require them to vote a certain way. Smith stated that the paragraph should be left as it was amended earlier.

Guy began discussion on the 16th paragraph.

Holloway made the following motion out of order, the board agreed to continue with the motion.

MOTION: HOLLOWAY/KOVALCHECK move to amend the 17th paragraph to include:

unless said transfer includes full and complete oversight by the current UC board’s bylaws.

Rodriguez stated that the resolution has had too much time spent on it. Rodriguez suggested tabling the resolution

MOTION: HUGHES/HOLLOWAY move to extend the meeting until 7:30 p.m.

Holloway stated that it is in the responsibility of the Associated Students President to represent the Councils opinion. Hughes stated that he agrees with Holloway’s sentiment. Smith stated that he is not in support of keeping the paragraph. Hurley stated that if this is left in the resolution she will not vote for it. Hughes stated that in the Associated Students Government Code and Constitution it says that the
president is the official voice of the Associated Students. Hughes stated that for two weeks in a row Rentz stated support for the changes in the Lumberjack. Hughes does not want to strike the resolved but will support the motion if it would allow the resolution to pass. Holloway reminded Council members to check their individual bias.

**Guy began discussion on the 17th paragraph.**

Hughes stated that this paragraph is similar to the 15th paragraph but is directed to all members who sit on the University Center Board of Directors.

**Guy began discussion on the 18th paragraph.**

Hughes feels that everyone in this paragraph are people who should receive the information.

**MOTION:** SCHADEN/KOVALCHECK move to amend the motion to strike the following from the 18th paragraph:

*Special Assistant to the President, Denise Helwig, Confidential Administrative Assistant to the President; Patty Lindley, Confidential Assistant to the President; Mary Greta, Administrative Support Assistant to the President, Kelly Mathson,*

**APPROVED**

Schaden feels that listing these individuals by name could be perceived as an attack and she feels that it is necessary to keep working relationships with them. Hughes stated that they were included, but not as an attack, and that he wanted it to go out to President Richmond’s staff so that they would know that there are people unhappy with the process. Schaden stated that she understood it wasn’t meant as an attack but that the board would be unable to control the way that it is interpreted by those who read it.

**Guy began discussion on the 19th paragraph.**

**MOTION:** HUGHES/NEWTON move to amend the motion by striking the 19th paragraph.

**APPROVED**

Hughes stated that he no longer wanted the 19th paragraph in the resolution.

**Guy began discussion on the 20th paragraph.**

**MOTION:** KOVALCHECK/HUGHES move to amend the motion by striking the following from the 20th paragraph *in Arcata, CA and the Associated Students of Humboldt State University*

**RESOLVED,** *That we the Associated Students Of Humboldt State University in Arcata, CA do hereby request that any and all persons receiving this document, whenever possible send an official response to the return information below so that we the Associated Students of Humboldt State University may more effectively and responsibly provide an official voice through which students’ opinions may be expressed.*
The Council finished discussing the resolution by paragraph and continued to amend the resolution as they saw necessary.

MOTION: KOVALCHECK/HUGHES move to amend the motion by striking *endanger* and replacing with *hinder* in the sixth paragraph.

APPROVED

MOTION: HUGHES/NEWTON move to amend the motion by adding *CSU* before *Board of Trustees* in the 19th paragraph.

APPROVED

(1) ABSTENTIONS

MOTION: NEWTON/HURLEY move to call the question on the resolution as amended.

APPROVED

D. Resolution in Support for Humboldt State University to Reduce Paper Waste by Implementing Print Managing Software throughout all Campus Computer Laboratories – Action Item

The Associated Students is requesting the campus implement print managing software to cut down the use of paper waste on campus. Students would still receive up to $5.00 worth of printing but would be charged minimally for paper usage over $5.00.

MOTION: NEWTON/SMITH move to approve the Resolution in Support for Humboldt State University to Reduce Paper Waste by Implementing Print Managing Software throughout all Campus Computer Laboratories.

FAILS AS AMENDED

(4) AYE

(1) ABSTENTION

Guy voted against the motion.

Smith stated that Casey Thorne, a student and employee for the CNRS computer labs, has been working towards implementing a paper reduction plan for the computer labs. Thorne, an Engineering Major, has wanted to place software that would track how much paper is being used by an individual within the labs. Thorne has met with; Anna Kircher, Campus CIO, Vice President Butler and President Richmond whom have agreed to create a pilot program within the CNRS computer labs. Smith stated that the purpose of the resolution is to lend support in managing the amount of paper used on campus. Balch stated that this could be unfair for students who are required to download online texts or works. Balch also stated that many professors place their tests online and it would be unfair for students who need to print for that purpose. Rentz stated that this would only be a pilot project and would allow the University to gauge how much paper an average student needs. Rentz stated that the CNRS labs would be a great place to have the pilot project because many CNRS students have to print online journals.
Rentz also stated that money is taken out of the General Fund to subsidize the cost of student printing on campus. Hurley reiterated Balch’s earlier concerns.

MOTION: SCHADEN/SMITH move to amend the motion by striking the 7th paragraph and replacing it with:

Resolved: the charge for additional paper after the general use to students will be significantly lower that what is available at off-campus organizations.

FAILS
(4) AYES

Smith stated that students would only be charged for additional paper used after a student had expended their $5. Holloway stated that offering a solution to a problem that has not been thoroughly analyzed would be putting the cart before the horse.

MOTION: NEWTON/HURLEY move to call the question on the pending amendment.

APPROVED
(1) OPPOSED

Holloway stated that there are many students who would be unable to pay and that many Financial Aid students are unable to pay more fees than they have covered. Holloway also stated that often times paper in the printers can become jammed and that there is a lack of duplex printing on campus. Holloway feels that these issues should be taken care of first.

MOTION: HOLLOWAY/KOVALCHECK move to amend the motion by removing Student printing on the HSU campus is out of control. In the 4th paragraph.

APPROVED
AS AMENDED
(1) OPPOSED

Holloway feels that the phrase is subjective and should be removed. Smith replied that 75 tons of paper is “out of control.”

MOTION: KOVALCHECK/SMITH move to amend the amendment to include the word excessive after the phrase 75 tons.

APPROVED

MOTION: HUGHES/BALCH move to table the Resolution indefinitely.

FAILS
(2) AYE

Hughes stated that a lot more information is needed before the Council approves the resolution. Rodriguez stated that the resolution should not be tabled and that the College of Natural Resources and Sciences have already implemented the idea in one lab. Rodriguez believes that the idea is a good one. Smith stated that he would not like the resolution to be tabled because he is currently here to speak on the subject and would be unable to be here next semester. Schaden would like to continue working on
this since some students have come prepared to discuss the issue. Cobbs stated that she thinks that the Council should consider students who have to print out readings and are on Financial Aid and is apprehensive on any programming that would monitor what students would do in computer labs. Cobbs stated that she feels the resolution may be jumping the gun and that not enough research is provided.

MOTION: KOVALCHECK/HUGHES move to extend the meeting to 8:30 p.m.  
APPROVED  
(1) OPPOSED  
(1) ABSTENTION

MOTION: HUGHES/HOLLOWAY move to amend the motion by striking by implementing Print Managing Software throughout all campus computer laboratories in the subject.  
FAILS  
(4) AYE

Holloway stated that changing the subject wouldn’t change the document. Guy stated that printing systems used on Microsoft platforms will not work well due to flaws in the software. Smith stated that this has full support from the ITS department and that a bureaucracy will be in place to deal with the issues that have been risen. Kovalcheck stated that she would like to keep the title the same and supports the implementation of the software.

MOTION: COBBS/HOLLOWAY move to call the question on the resolution as amended.  
APPROVED  
(2) OPPOSED

E. An Act to Amend Article III, Section A.2, of the Associated Students’ Constitution – Action Item  
The Associated Students Constitution will include additional language to add the duty of co-chairing the Humboldt State University Lobby Corps.

MOTION: KOVALCHECK/NEWTON move to approve An Act to Amend Article III, Section A.2, of the Associated Students’ Constitution  
APPROVED  
(1) OPPOSED  
AS AMENDED

Rentz stated that this is an amendment to the constitution to the Lobby Corps which would add the duty of co-chairing the Lobby Corps to the AS President.

MOTION: NEWTON/KOVALCHECK move to amend the act by changing A.1 to A.2 in the first resolved.  
APPROVED  
(5) ABSTENTIONS

Newton noted that this was a typographical mistake and should be fixed before being approved.
MOTION: HUGHES/HOLLOWAY move to table items E,F,G and H of Old Business to the beginning of the Fall 2008 semester. FAILS (4) AYE

Guy voted against the motion.

Hughes feels that it would be better to wait until the next year to take action on these items. Rentz stated that the budget was approved for the following year and that the President would have to implement this program quickly. Rodriguez stated she needs Lobby Corps to be started as quickly as possible and would not like this tabled. Holloway stated that she will need to leave the meeting and supports Hughes motion. Hughes feels that the new Legislative Vice President could discuss these changes at the fall retreat. Schaden believes that this is important for the Council to speak on this now and that the Council should address the problems with the code. Balch feels that the new Council has been here for way too long and the group is too tired and is not being able to effectively conduct business

MOTION: NEWTON/HUGHES move to call the question. APPROVED

F. An Act to Amend Section 4.08 of the Associated Students’ Administrative Code –Action Item
   The Associated Students Administrative Code will include additional language to clarify the additional duties of the two CSSA Representatives.

MOTION: NEWTON/SMITH move to approve An Act to Amend Section 4.08 of the Associated Students’ Administrative Code. APPROVED (1) OPPOSED AS AMENDED

Rentz stated that this would update the responsibilities of the CSSA Representatives similarly to how the AS President’s responsibilities were updated.

MOTION: HUGHES/KOVALCHECK move to amend the Act to strike HSU Lobby Corps and replace with Humboldt State Associated Students Lobby Corps. APPROVED (1) OPPOSED

G. An Act to Amend Section 12 and its sub-sections of the Associated Students’ Administrative Code –Action Item
   The Associated Students Administrative Code will include additional language outlining the structure of the newly created Associated Students Lobby Corps.

MOTION: NEWTON/HUGHES move to approve An Act to Amend Section 12 and its sub-sections of the Associated Students’ Administrative Code APPROVED (1) OPPOSED AS AMENDED
Rentz stated that this proposed amendment to the code would reflect the changes made and approved in the budget.

**MOTION:** KOVALCHECK/HUGHES move to strike *HSU Lobby Corps* and replace with *Humboldt State University Associated Students Lobby Corps* throughout the document.

**APPROVED**

H. **An Act to Amend Section 13 and its sub-sections of the Associated Students’ Administrative Code –Action Item**

The Associated Students Administrative Code will include additional language outlining the structure of the newly created Student Interrelations Committee.

**MOTION:** NEWTON/KOVALCHECK move to approve *An Act to Amend Section 13 and its sub-sections of the Associated Students’ Administrative Code*  

**APPROVED**

(1) **OPPOSED**  
AS AMENDED

**MOTION:** SCHADEN/SMITH move to amend the motion by striking *Student Interrelations Committee* and replacing with *Student Interrelations Committee for Quality Academics*.

**APPROVED**

**MOTION:** HUGHES/KOVALCHECK move to extend the meeting until 9:00 p.m.

**APPROVED**

**Official Reports (10 minutes limit each speaker, additional time at discretion of Chair)**

**Committee Reports from Students-at-Large**

No report.

**College Representative Reports**

Kovalcheck – College of Natural Resources and Sciences

Kovalcheck reported that she went to the International Resource Committee meeting on May 5th, the IRC will be changing their name to the International Advisory Committee.

Smith – College of Natural Resources and Sciences

Smith thanked the Council for the relationships fostered throughout the year.

Schaden – College of Natural Resources and Sciences

Schaden requested that Council members submit any pictures from AS events from throughout the year. There will be an open forum for students on Program Prioritization on May 9th at noon in Siemens Hall 116. Schaden reported that the provost is requesting that any students interested in the Program Prioritization Benchmark Group to get involved early. The student evaluation comparative group will discuss how student evaluations compare on different qualitative subjects. Schaden encourages other students to attend the meeting.
Hurley – College of Arts, Humanities, and Social Sciences
Hurley reported that AS Presents will be hosting an Ozomatli show on the lower soccer field during the first week of the new school year.

Newton – College of Arts, Humanities, and Social Sciences
Newton reported that she missed the last Student Health Advisory Committee meeting. The Sexual Health Advocacy Committee will be providing training to athletes on harassments.

Cobbs -- College of Professional Studies
Absent.

Lobo – College of Professional Studies
Absent.

Balch – All University
Balch reported that The Academic Senate voted 23-2 in favor of keeping the German Program.

Hughes – All University
Hughes reported that he has attended several committee meetings. The University Curriculum Committee has a complaint from the English department because the College of Arts Humanities and Social Sciences has changed their program without their input. Hughes stated that it is an honor to have served with everyone on Council. Hughes pointed out that at the local level there is an opportunity to make changes. Hughes acknowledged the editorial to the Lumberjack. Hughes feels that the board should always be open to criticism.

Graduate Student Representative (Tara Holloway)
Absent.

Residence Hall Association (RHA) Representative Report (Josephine Villegas)
Absent.

General Manager (Joan Tyson)
Absent.

Elections Commissioner (Keith Lambert)
Absent.

Public Relations (Steven Dimon)
Absent.

AS Presents (Alan Chesbro)
Absent.

CSSA (Lladira Baez)
Absent.
CSSA (Rachel Rodriguez)
Rodriguez reported that she will be sitting on the Internal Review Board for CSSA. Students will be holding a “study in” on the Capitol beginning May 19th to protest cuts to higher education. Rodriguez encouraged the Council to read the proposed resolutions on the CSSA agenda for the upcoming meeting.

Legislative Vice President (Ryan Guy)
Guy reported that he went to the Student Health Advisory Committee meeting and encouraged others to go to the meetings next year. Guy encouraged the Council to not use the “us versus them mentality” and that the body should work together to be effective.

Student Affairs Vice President (Constance Mitchell)
Absent.

Administrative Affairs Vice President (DaVonna Foy)
Foy was absent, Rentz reported the Board of Finance Report

Board of Finance Report –April 28th, 2008

A. Request from The Humboldt Film Festival to transfer funds in the amount of $1,425.00 from the Publicity line item to the Awards line item. –Information Item
The Humboldt Film Festival spent more on Awards for the 2008 Film Festival than planned and would like to adjust their budget to make up for the expenditures.

B. Request from The Humboldt Film Festival for one Spring semester Co-Director stipend to be reallocated to the two remaining Humboldt Film Festival Co-Directors –Information Item.
The Humboldt Film Festival was originally budgeted for 3 program co-directors. The two remaining co-directors completed the duties of the third co-director during the spring semester.

C. Request from The Humboldt Film Festival in the amount of $1,070.50 from The Humboldt Film Festival Trust Account for the purchase of an iMac Computer –Information Item.
The Humboldt Film Festival would like to purchase a new computer for the festival office that has Adobe Photoshop, Illustrator and InDesign. The Department of Theater, Film and Dance has agreed to contribute $720.00 for the computer.

D. Request from the Campus Center for Appropriate Technology (CCAT) from Facilities/Special Projects Reserves for funds in an amount not to exceed $20,500.00 for the costs associated with installing a new roof on the Buck House. –Action Item
CCAT recently received a roof assessment from Alves, Inc. whom determined that the current roof needs to be replaced. The request includes the costs of roofing materials, labor, venting supplies and sheathing.

MOTION: NEWTON/SMITH move to approve the Request from the Campus Center for Appropriate Technology (CCAT) from Facilities/Special Projects Reserves for funds in an amount not to exceed $20,500.00 for the costs associated with installing a new roof on the Buck House. APPROVED
Jocelyn Orr, CCAT Co-Director, was present on behalf of the request. Orr stated that in 2004 the Buck House was moved and received a new foundation and basement. There are no funds left in the CCAT trust account to address the issue of purchasing a new roof. Alves has assessed the roof and stated that it is saturated and needs to be replaced. Orr feels that a standing seam metal roof would be the best fit and would come with a 40 year warranty. Orr stated that the current roof is at least 10 years old and could be possibly older. Guy asked if the current roof has a warranty. Orr responded that the current roof did not have a warranty. Hughes stated that CCAT does good work and thinks it would be irresponsible if they are not approve the request for a new roof.

**President (Terra Rentz)**

Rentz stated that tomorrow is the last UC BOD meeting of the year in the banquet room at 5pm. Richmond has approved funding for the printer software. Sue MacConnie is the new chair of the Academic Senate. Rentz stated the accomplishments of the Council for the year.

**General Council Discussion**

There was no General Council Discussion.

**Announcements**

There were no announcements.

**Adjournment**

Guy adjourned the meeting without objection at 9:15 p.m.

Recorded by:

Rob Christensen
AS Secretary

Approved by:

_______________________
Ryan Guy
Associated Students Legislative Vice President
Call to Order

Jesse Hughes, Legislative Vice President, called the meeting to order at 9:27 p.m. in the University Center South Lounge.

Roll Call

Members Present: Kale Roberts, Rachel Cooke, Corey Fenton

Members Absent: Beth Weissbart, Dina Haigounian

Officers Present: Jesse Hughes, Nikki Kovalcheck, Gabe Shames

Advisors Absent: Joan Tyson, Steven Butler

Approval of Agenda

MOTION: FENTON/COOKE move to approve Agenda #1.

APPROVED

Chair's Report
Hughes reported that he appreciates the Council being at the meeting and sitting through the previous meeting. Hughes acknowledged the last Council’s work and stated that they have set a good foundation for the incoming Council. Hughes stated that the Council will have a meeting the following week after the incoming AS President had been elected. Hughes received a letter from Haigounian who stated that she will be absent due to a prior conflict.

**Public Comments (As per the Gloria Romero Open Meeting Act of 2000 authorized by Section 89306.)**

Carrie Schaden, a student at large, commented that she had created a committee reporting form that the next Council will be able to use. Schaden passed out worksheets that students could fill out to help incoming students understand the Committee structure.

**Appointments to Committees and Council**

**A. S. President (VACANT)**

No Appointments.

**A.S. Legislative Vice President (Jesse Hughes)**

No Appointments.

**A. S. Administrative Vice President (Nikki Kovalcheck)**

No Appointments.

**A. S. Student Affairs Vice President (Gabe Shames)**

No Appointments.

**Old Business**

There was no old business.
Official Reports (10 minutes limit each speaker, additional time at discretion of Chair)

Committee Reports from Students-at-Large

There were no Committee reports.

College Representative Reports

Roberts – College of Natural Resources and Sciences

Roberts reported that he is excited about working with the new council. Roberts understands that the previous council had a lot of loose ends to tie up. Roberts thinks that the new council will be successful and that tough situations give the opportunity to be creative and collaborative.

Cooke – College of Natural Resources and Sciences

Cooke stated that she gained confidence in the old council by sticking it out through the meeting.

Haigounian – College of Arts, Humanities, and Social Sciences

Weissbart – College of Natural Resources and Sciences

Absent.

Haigounian – College of Arts Humanities and Social Sciences

Absent.

Fenton – College of Professional Studies

Fenton reported that he was excited to serve on Council. Fenton looks forward to helping the students of HSU. Fenton feels that a fresh attitude and perspective will be helpful.

Graduate Student Representative (VACANT)
Residence Hall Association (RHA) Representative Report (VACANT)

General Manager (Joan Tyson)
Absent

Elections Commissioner (VACANT)

Public Relations (VACANT)

AS Presents (VACANT)

CSSA (VACANT)
It was reported that if anyone knows a student who will be a student for the following two years they should be encouraged to apply to be a CSU Student Trustee. A brief introduction of the California State Student Association was given.

CSSA (VACANT)

Legislative Vice President (Jesse Hughes)
Hughes reported that the council will consider a time for an Associated Students Council meeting for next week during the General Council Work Session.

Student Affairs Vice President (Gabe Shames)
Shames stated that he looks forward to working with the new council and already feels a connection with some of the new council. Shames stated that Council Members who would like to work the study lounge event should sign up as soon as possible.

Administrative Affairs Vice President (Nikki Kovalcheck)
Kovalcheck reported that the study lounge will begin on Sunday and continue through Wednesday and that shifts are available as late as 2:30 a.m. Kovalcheck recommended that the new Council ask questions to multiple people when looking for an answer and suggested resources that Council could use. Kovalcheck stated that people should remember to phrase questions as an approach rather than an attack and that there is an always an opportunity to learn more.

President (VACANT)

General Council Discussion

Hughes wanted to affirm to the council that everyone is on the same team. Hughes asked for more information about the upcoming student lounge. Shames responded that the study lounge is an opportunity to allow students to use an area that will stay open after the Library closes. Kovalcheck added that the Study Lounge is always the week of finals and is open from 6:00 p.m. – 2:30 a.m. Food is provided by local businesses and The Depot. Kovalcheck added that in the fall semester the Good Food Club provided additional food for the event. Cooke stated that she has seen no publicity regarding study lounge and that she would be willing to help advertise in the HSU Residence Halls.

General Council Work Session

Hughes stated that there will be a meeting the following Wednesday, May 14 at 3:00 p.m. Hughes asked for students to provide an e-mail contact and suggested that the Council use a non HSU e-mail. It was suggested that parking permits be issued for council members volunteering for the Study Lounge. Hughes thanked members of the audience for attending the meeting.

Announcements

It was announced that the Academic Program Prioritization open forum will be held Friday, May 9th at noon.

Adjournment

Hughes adjourned the meeting without objection at 9:56 p.m.
Recorded by:

Rob Christensen
AS Secretary

Approved by:

_______________________
Jesse M. Hughes
Associated Students Legislative Vice President