I. Called To Order at 7:05pm

II. Roll Call - quorum was present
   A. President Finley (Chair) - present
   B. Vice President Cuéllar - arrived 7:08pm
   C. Vice President Lopez - arrived 7:30pm
   D. Vice President Lamar - present
   E. Officer Sotomayor - present
   F. Officer Barrantes - present
   G. Representative Mort - absent
   H. Representative Guerrero (non-voting) - present
   I. Officer Burns-Young (non-voting) - present
   J. Parliamentarian Shapiro (non-voting) - absent
   K. Executive Director Lund (non-voting) - present

III. Adoption of the Agenda
   It was moved (Lamar) and seconded to adopt the agenda.
   The motion to adopt was APPROVED unanimously.

IV. Adoption of Minutes #11
   It was moved (Lamar) and seconded to adopt the minutes from February 2nd.
   The motion to adopt was APPROVED unanimously.

V. Public Comment
   As per Gloria Romero Open Meeting Act, and according to the rules and regulations thereof, public comment will be heard at this time.

VI. Unfinished Business
   A. Presentation on A.S. Accomplishments to Senate

       Coordinator Perez-Sornia presented the drafted slides for the presentation to the Senate on March 2nd and said they’d be continuing to go through office hours to work with members on the presentation. Chair Finley asked the group for discussion and any added contributions.
       It was asked, “Who are we trying to get the attention of with this presentation?”
which Finley responded the presentation is set for the Senate and is working to address campus staff sentiments that may have been shared around respect. Representative Guerrero recommended providing flyers to department chairs to help spread the word to students in classes about this update at the Senate. Members agreed to continue to add to the slideshow so at next week’s meeting everyone can do a review. Additionally, the Committee will use time next meeting to plan the speakers for the presentation. Vice President Lamar offered to be a presenter at the Senate. It was suggested and supported that A.S. could “humanize” the presentation by adding a short bio section where Senators can get an idea of the students who are actually a part of A.S.

B. A.S. Core Programs
Coordinator Perez-Sornia presented an update on A.S. Core Programs. Core Programs, as vetted by the A.S. Attorney, are A.S. funded programs that are guided and modeled by A.S. but are run by student staff. They can be thought of as branches of Associated Students that carry out themed programming and offer core services that are open to all students as a resource. Currently drafted expectations for Core Programs are to maintain direct engagement with A.S. by serving as ex-officio members on A.S. standing committees, they provide at least annual presentations to the full Board of Directors, they establish collaborative relationships with campus partners, produce educational programs and open services that align with student needs and interests, and they assist with the assessment and evaluation of their programs and services. Currently drafted student staffing at each core program would have at least two co-directors, “Engagement” for public relations and recruitment, and “Administrative” for financial and clerical support. Depending on the program, there would then be content-specific project leads and supports. For professional staff support, there would be a content advisor who are staff or faculty who help to maintain the educational relevancy of the initiatives within each core program. A.S. coordinators would support in programming and administratively. Coordinators and President Finley, when available, have arranged meetings with current program staff, presented the drafted models, and made adjustments based on the discussion. For example, Student Legal Lounge noted that their internships may require a third Co-Director position, a “Research” Co-Director who facilitate their time and paperwork. The Sexuality and Gender Equity Collective was adjusted from being a “Center” to be a “Collective” so the Womxn’s Resource Center and Eric Rofes Center would maintain their identifying names. Additionally, the volunteers were re-visualed to show that they could be intersectional volunteers to the whole collective. The Sustainability model was completely re-designed to keep CCAT and WRRAP separate. After consultation, the Students Arts and
Performance Center is likely a future endeavor that will be made easier once more programs and people can safely be on campus. From the update, there are still many questions to be addressed, for instance: How will programs hire co-directors, and other employees? How can the expectations of A.S. Core Programs be achieved? How will we evaluate the success or shortcomings of A.S. Core Programs? How and/or when would we plan for these findings to be collected? What would be the outcome of success or failure to meet established standards? Are the student staff job descriptions sufficient on the A.S. facing side? The Committee did not have time to review the drafted job descriptions. A.S. Core Programs will be a standing item for this semester.

C. Student Body Center Fee & University Center
The Committee reviewed the Presidential Team’s Responses to the questions regarding the student union. There was discussion specifically around the response to Section C, question 1, “What is the clear plan to addure student oversight to their fee and facilities?” There was concern to Chartwells sustainability practices, or lack thereof, and how students can advocate that they rise to the standards already advocated for and implemented by former Dining Services. Officer Barrantes provided that the Humboldt Advisory Committee on Sustainability discussed their concerns for the maintenance of sustainability measures. Barrantes reported that WRRAP will be writing a document asking campus and Chartwells to continue the best practices HSU had. Barrantes is also preparing her own communications to Todd Larsen, who seems to be in charge or involved with the new dining services. There was a question to what kind of collective input the campus and specifically students will have on the former programs of the University Center. There was additional question to the oversight of the fee that will now be under campus oversight. Vice President Lamar and President Finley will work to bring these standing questions to President Jackson and Vice President Meriwether in their upcoming meeting.

VII. General Discussion
There was no general discussion.

VIII. Announcements
There were no announcements.

IX. Adjournment
It was moved (Barrantes) and seconded to adjourn the meeting.
The meeting adjourned at 8:05 pm